

KLAAS ACTION REVIEW

THE NEWSLETTER OF THE KLAASKIDS FOUNDATION

A mile a minute....
that is how fast your child can disappear



A MESSAGE FROM MARC

In this edition of the *Klaas Action Review* we explore various aspects of child abuse and exploitation. Two experts with diverse backgrounds share insights learned over decades of experience in their individual fields. Robin Sax, a Los Angeles County Deputy District Attorney who specializes in prosecuting sex crimes against children, writes about what she knows as a prosecutor and what she recommends to make the legal system more equitable toward child victims. Bestselling author Chip St. Clair is the recipient of a U.S. Congressional Record for dedicated advocacy on behalf of abused and neglected children. He writes about how his victimization as a child has made him a better, stronger, and more compassionate adult. Finally, we will highlight a cynical attempt by California's legislative budget conference committee to repeal Proposition 49, thus eliminating voter approved funding for after school programs for California's at-risk youth.

When my daughter Polly was kidnapped, raped and murdered in 1993, very little was known about the sexual abuse and

exploitation of children. Neither federal nor state governments were tracking these kinds of crimes, and so the prevalence of sex crimes against children were unknown. There was no mandate to register sex offenders, let alone notify the community of their existence. Sex offenders, particularly those who offended against children, existed under the radar, committing crime with impunity, secure in the knowledge that their victims, alone and afraid, would not reveal their shame.

In 1993 sex crimes against children were America's dirty little secret, but today much has changed. With heightened awareness, all facets of society are much better educated as to the risks faced by all of our children. Parents are more willing to sit around the kitchen table and discuss safety issues with their

(Continued on Page 7)

INSIDE

THROUGH THE EYES OF A CHILD PAGE 2-3

CHILD SEXUAL ASSAULT PROSECUTION .. PAGE 4-7

WHY CALIFORNIA NEEDS PROP. 49 PAGE 8

THROUGH THE EYES OF A CHILD

Of all this world's beauty and intrigue, brilliance and simplicity; of all of nature's graces, children are truly the most remarkable. They stare out at this incredible world in awe and wonderment, eager to learn without a measure of prejudice or cynicism – those disreputable attributes developed when innocence fades like an intangible dream upon awakening to the harsh realities of our world. Death, divorce, illness, world conflicts – with age comes the price of realization that life is wrought with adversity. Properly harnessed and directed, a child will grow to laugh and love with confidence, resilience, and passion despite adversity. They will be armed with optimism and tenacity when facing life's normal challenges. Yet sometimes there are unspeakable challenges which children face that threaten to shatter even more than their innocence.

Left unchecked, those feelings can haunt them into adulthood, undermining their security, confidence, and coping abilities; and quite possibly even sparking or perhaps continuing a cycle of the gross injustices exacted upon them. Having suffered horrible abuse at the hands of my own father, I know firsthand the struggles of guilt and fear that consume a suffering child. Teachers, friends, neighbors – no one would have known what I locked away behind my eyes, for I became an expert in masking my pain. Because I had no frame of reference to know what was happening was not normal. Because I was afraid.

My sanctuary came in the form of books; the written word, in verse from poetry, in noble adventures of novels, even the mundane ingredient list printed on the side of a cereal box. I devoured everything, finding refuge and

hoping with all my heart that one day I would see a world as beautiful as I found in my books.

One of the most haunting encounters with the power of literature came

when I was eight years old. My family had taken a rare vacation to Lake Michigan, and events transpired to where my father had tossed me from a rowboat into the deep lake and rowed away leaving me cold, alone; clinging to a poem I had read called *Invictus* by a poet named William Ernest Henley. Its final lines:

*I am the master of my fate,
I am the captain of my soul.*

I somehow found the strength to swim back to shore on that morning. And although I continued to be tortured by my father for many more years, I was comforted by the fact that I had something deep down inside of me that he would never be able to hurt – the embers of hope.

The unfortunate fact is this is not what makes my story unique. Astoundingly, there are over 10 million children reportedly abused each year. If this were a disease afflicting our population, we would declare an epidemic, utilizing every resource to curtail the disease. I would therefore argue that child abuse *is* a disease.

A disease is defined by a condition afflicting a particular part of something, be it plant or



Chip St. Clair

(Continued on Next Page)

animal, or society in this case, with a series of symptoms. Symptoms I would argue as indisputable: Abuse continuing to happen behind closed doors by the very family members charged with the duty of protection, yet it is investigated as a societal concern and not a *crime*. The symptom of recurring failures in our justice system to adequately protect future victims by being more concerned about a repeat offender's rights and freedoms than that of society. The symptom of over 90% of the prison population reporting abuse as children. We have ourselves an epidemic that very few understand the scope of, or what it will take to change it.

What makes my own story unique is the journey I found myself on to ultimately reach enlightenment and answer that question. Statistically I should be an alcoholic like my mother, or an abuser like my father. But I was confronted with that Moment of Truth we all face in one form or another – that moment we decide who we are, what we're made of. My Moment of Truth came very literally when I was 22.

Every family has secrets. But what was revealed from the shadowed halls of the past was the darkest of family betrayals.


In 1998, I learned that the man I called father was a fugitive by the name of Michael Grant – a child murderer who had been on the run for nearly 26 years.

With the strength of my wife, Lisa, I found the courage to turn him in, but in uncovering my father's identity I somehow had lost my own. Everything I had ever known about my life was a lie, including my own name and birthday. I wasn't sure if I'd been kidnapped.

This revelation sent me on a quest for my identity and began a battle with the demons I had within; demons that had consumed my father. It was ultimately the love of Lisa and her belief in me, and my foundation in literature, that allowed me to look within so I was able to master my demons and make a metamorphosis from victim to victim's advocate, with a new purpose to help prevent children from facing the very nightmare I face as chronicled in my memoir, *The Butterfly Garden*.

When I was finally able to understand all the answers I sought were inside me, that the greatest battle any of us will ever fight is the one within, only then could I make peace with the past and look for a future of hope.

Gandhi said, "We must be the change we wish to see in the world." We may have a long road ahead of us as a society, but with those words in mind, it is clear that each of us can play an important role in the outcome. Survivors need to stand up and take back the hope robbed from them as a child. Those who grew up in a loving family need to set examples of what the world should be like for those who can't imagine it without pain. If we can learn, can remember how to engage all that surrounds and all that is within through the eyes of a child, well, mankind may just reclaim the lost paradise written about by Milton. ■



Book can be purchased on
www.amzaon.com

CHILD SEXUAL ASSAULT PROSECUTION:

WHAT I KNOW AS A PROSECUTOR AND WHAT I WOULD CHANGE



Robin Sax

There is no greater concern in society than the concern people have for children. And with good reason for children are powerless to take on the perils of our world on their own. Child sexual assault needs our attention, because child

sexual assault is not only a social epidemic; it's affecting children in their own homes every day in huge numbers.

According to the Department of Justice's crime statistics, 67% of victims of sexual assault are juveniles. Combined statistics show that every two minutes, someone in the United States is sexually assaulted. While the numbers can vary depending on who is reporting and what methodology is used, the fact is that child sexual assault happens every day in homes, schools, cars, beaches, parks, and alleys across the country. I know this because as a sex crimes prosecuting attorney, I every day personally review the cases, meet with the victims, and hear kids disclose abuse.

While my job is challenging, I consider it an honor and privilege to give young victims a voice, a place to regain trust, and a place to heal. But today, I'd like to tackle a different question: "What would I do to change the legal system?"

So, if I were judge, jury, and lawmaker, here's my answer. And by the way, I'll be brutally honest, even if I think you won't like what I have to say.

1. I believe there should be mandatory sentencing schemes in all jurisdictions that should not require specific additional factors that a jury would have to prove beyond a reasonable doubt. Under many current statutes, a case involving two victims would make a case eligible for a mandatory life sentence. If, however, the jury convicted on one victim and not the other (which happens very often because jurors like to throw a "bone" to the defendant), then the case may no longer hold a mandatory life sentence. The existence of the multiple-victim factor alone should qualify a case for an enhanced penalty.

2. The Supreme Court should overturn the Crawford decision. Under current law, if a victim dies or is legally unavailable for a court appearance, the victim's prior statements made to the police or hospital is inadmissible, due to the defendant's right to confront and cross-examine the witness. I am in favor of the law prior to the recent Crawford decision that allows for admitting victims' or unavailable persons' previous statements made under certain conditions that ensure reliability. A defendant should not benefit from the death or legal unavailability of his or her victim.

3. Our jury system sounds wonderful in theory. Under the Constitution, each person charged with a crime is entitled to have the case heard by a jury of one's peers, people selected from our community, who listen to the evidence and determine what the facts are in the case, while the judge decides on the legal issues.

"A jury of one's peers" is based on the supposed impartiality of a random sample of the population. It assumes that the average accused will share more similarities than differences with a random sample of the population, and there-

(Continued on Next Page)

fore will be judged by people who are likely to give the accused a fair shake.

However, those of us who are not “average” will have a much smaller likelihood of having a jury that comprises our peers. Also, the mechanisms of the “voir dire” process, or jury selection, increases the problem by creating juries composed of a greater percentage of poor, uneducated, unintelligent people, with very little experience with the justice system, than is naturally prevalent in society.

The solution is a professional jury system. Professional jurors would be laypersons educated for the task. They would be taught to understand the rules of evidence, the trial process and instruction, and would be tested thoroughly on their objectivity. Most important, a professional jury would have no motivation other than ensuring that due process was followed. The complexities, nuances, and intricacies involved in a child sexual assault warrant a professional jury both because of the difficulty of the case and the great danger of releasing a predator back into society if a jury makes the wrong decision.

4. We need better supervision of registered sex offenders, including requiring registered sex offenders to register their computer Internet accounts, IP addresses, and telephone numbers—not just their physical address. They should be required to inform authorities of international travel and there should be an integrated international registration system (like that for known terrorists) to monitor their movements. Registered sex offenders should also be subject to lifetime counseling and therapy, not just as a condition of parole or probation.

5. Money and time should be available to train the professionals in this field, including law enforcement, prosecutors, advocates, therapists,

judges, probation, and parole officers. It’s important, of course, to stay current on the latest advances and laws in this area. Additionally, studies show that training and resources alleviate burnout and serve to inspire and motivate people in this line of work.

6. Right now, it’s standard procedure to assign prosecutors and law enforcement to handle sex crimes for a limited time before rotating them to another area of crime. The benefit of being in a vertical unit (handling all aspects of a case from beginning to end) is that there is a high degree of specialization and high accountability for those involved.

The theory behind vertical prosecution is to have a group of specialists trained in this highly difficult area. However, all too often, once a prosecutor or detective reaches a year or so in the unit, they are transferred. The bureaucratic thinking is that prosecutors and detectives should be cross-trained in all areas of criminal law, and that specializing narrows a prosecutor’s or detective’s skills. In the sex crimes area, where cases are so sensitive and the victims have their own special needs, I believe that lawyers and detectives should be assigned for a minimum of five years. Also, lawyers and law enforcers should be assigned to these units on a voluntary basis. This is not the type of unit for someone who doesn’t have the requisite passion or a tough enough stomach to handle these cases.

7. Specific courtrooms should be designed for child sexual assault and abuse cases, so they are 100% devoted to the needs and realities of kids who testify. For example, courtrooms can be painted in “kid friendly” colors like pastels, so they look more like a classroom. The chairs on the witness stand should be appropriately “kid sized” and adjustable so that a child’s feet can reach the floor. Further, the judges should be especially trained to handle these cases, and be

(Continued on Next Page)

very familiar with the laws pertaining to child testimony. They would thus be able to use their discretion to protect the child and assist the child when giving testimony. This system can be modeled after the drug courts that are devoted to drug addicts and focus on treatment, as opposed to punishment. These courts work with the defendants to address their issues and are sensitive to the needs of addicts.

8. There should be limits to an attorney's ability to cross-examine and badger kids. One of the basic tenets of our Constitution is that criminal defendants have the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against them. It often seems that our criminal justice system offers greater protection to the accused than to the child victim involved in a sexual abuse prosecution.

9. In recent years, legislators have been concerned about defendants who escape punishment for sexually molesting young children because the children are afraid to testify in the defendant's presence. To address this problem, many states have enacted special rules that authorize judges—in certain situations—to allow children to testify via closed-circuit television. The defendant can see the child on a television monitor, but the child cannot see the defendant. The defense attorney can be present where the child is testifying and can cross-examine the child. Closed-circuit TV should be allowed more readily than its current use.

10. Currently, admissibility of expert testimony is problematic. And even when admitted, the admissibility tends to be for extremely limited purposes. Expert testimony should be welcomed and encouraged (from both sides) in order to assist jurors in understanding the unique issues when it comes to child sexual assault. Factors that the defense uses to discredit a child including delayed disclosure, recantation, minimization, self blame, lying first in order to protect the abuser or fearing they are in trouble, and understanding why "smart"

kids engage in risk-taking behavior, can make a disclosure credible if put into perspective by an expert.

11. Defense attorneys should be as sensitive and compassionate about victims as they are about their own clients. There must be legislation to stop re-victimizing the victim through the court process and to cease casting blame on the victim for being a crime victim.

12. Cases involving children should go to trial no later than six months from the filing. Currently, the most expeditious cases are tried in roughly a year from the date it was filed (not necessarily reported). Unlike fine wine, an aging case never gets better for the prosecution as time goes by.

13. As an alternative to jail and/or prison there should be live-in/lock-down facilities that are meant to work with, treat, and study sex offenders. Like drug rehabilitation, there should be facilities where we can take a low-level sex offender off the streets to ensure the safety of the public and treat them (if possible) or at least learn from the offender.

14. There should be amendments to the rule against double jeopardy (which means you can't be tried twice for the same offense) to enable the re-opening of proceedings against acquitted defendants where there is compelling new evidence. This will apply to a range of grave offenses, including those involving serious sexual offenses.


15. There should be a total ban, or at least limitations, on what a child sexual assault defendant should be allowed to do in the criminal process. For example, a defendant representing himself should not be able to personally direct or cross-examine children who are witnessing against him. He should not be able to access all the discovery information that a defense attorney (an officer of the court) would normally receive, such as the victim's school records, rape exam photos, and other confidential records.

(Continued on Next Page)

16. If a case is successfully appealed after a conviction, it may take two or three years before a court determines whether or not the defendant is entitled to a new trial. The prosecution would then need to bring the victim in to testify again. The new or old testimony could then be presented as “inconsistencies” from the first testimony, thus casting doubt on the victim’s credibility. If the cause for appeal has nothing to do with the victim’s testimony, the victim should not be made to return to court and the entire prior testimony should be stipulated as accurate and complete.

There is no greater concern in society than the concern people have for children. Whether it is providing a better earth, protecting kids from wars, terrorism, criminals, or abuse, our society prides itself on shielding our young. And with good reason—children are powerless to take on the perils of our world on their own. We can give children the voice that they so desperately

deserve if our leaders, lawmakers, and voters spoke up on and acted to protect the children we brought into this world.■



Book will be available September 2009

A MESSAGE FROM MARC

(Continued from Page 1)

children. Law enforcement has adopted a multi-disciplinary approach toward investigating these crimes that minimizes trauma to the victim and better preserves evidence. Media, particularly the cable news outlets, often lead their newscasts with the latest high-profile crime involving a child. Finally, policy makers have responded to sex crimes against children by passing laws that sentence perpetrators to much harsher prison terms, force them to register prior to being released into society, and notify the community whenever a registered sex offender moves into a neighborhood.

However, this sea change in knowledge and information is understandably accompanied by confusion, myth, and misinformation. The days of simply telling your children not to talk to

strangers are long gone. We now know that the problem is not stranger-centric. The predator’s playground has extended beyond the school yard. We know that it exists in cyberspace, at church, on the playground and even at home. In fact, statistics suggest that 70-80% of children who are molested are victimized by a family member or somebody that they know.

If we are going to protect our children against victimization, recognize it when it exists and ensure that it can be properly dealt with when it has been established, society must take advantage of every viable tool that is provided to us: whether it be legal, legislative or the deep-seated knowledge based on personal experience.■

WHY CALIFORNIA NEEDS PROP 49

Six years ago when our state was facing a \$24 billion budget deficit, California voters said “yes” to an investment in kids, public safety and our long-term economic well-being. By passing Proposition 49, the After School Education and Safety Program Act, voters effectively passed a law that created thousands of new after-school programs and provided a commitment to ongoing funding to provide safe, academic and enriching activities after school for children of working families.

After-school programs are critical to public safety because they keep kids occupied during the “prime time for juvenile crime,” which are the hours of 3 p.m. to 6 p.m. During this time, unsupervised youth are more likely to drink, use drugs, commit crime and be involved in gang activity. They are also more likely to become victims of crime.

I am a strong advocate for after-school programs because I know they prevent many kids from becoming involved in crime now, and give them the skills, confidence, and mentoring they need to develop into productive adults in the future. When a program for kids pays back in terms of lives turned around and crimes prevented, we should not roll back that modest investment, even in tough budget times.

With recent reports indicating that one in four California students drop out of school, it’s important to recognize what kind of programs work to keep kids in school and on the path toward graduation. Many after-school programs offer homework assistance as well as activities that teach job skills, provide exercise, feature enrichment and volunteer opportunities and introduce youth to

positive adult role models. Kids who participate in after-school programs are more likely to be engaged in school, less likely to be truant, and far less likely to dropout of school.

In the nine-county Bay Area, we have more than 550 programs serving 51,640 students, thanks to Prop 49 funding.

These programs are at risk, however, because the legislative budget conference committee is attempting to essentially repeal Prop 49 through a November ballot initiative. Yet, if the initiative were approved, it would do nothing to solve this year’s budget shortfall.

Instead, it would threaten funding to nearly 4,000 after-school programs throughout California. And it could strip opportunities from up to 400,000 kids who need something productive to do after school.

The whole point of Prop 49 was to guarantee funding for such programs and ensure that these valuable services were not subject to the whims of the Legislature. Many school districts, local governments and community organizations rely on the promise of a long-term state commitment. They committed matching local funds to pay for after-school programs and it would be wrong to break that commitment.

Proposition 49 should not be repealed. Voters made funding for after-school programs a priority – not just in 2002, but for years to come. The Legislature should honor that commitment and continue investing in our youth.■

© 2008 by the KlaasKids Foundation. The *Klaas Action Review* is published quarterly for Foundation members. Dedicated to the memory of Polly Klaas, the Foundation’s purpose is to inform parents, children and communities about how to prevent crimes against children through personal action and support of legislation. Design Concept: Blackburn Design, Petaluma, CA. Printing: MSI Litho, San Rafael, CA.

JOIN THE FOUNDATION AND HELP FIGHT CRIME!

*The KlaasKids Foundation is a tax-deductible non-profit agency.
We depend upon individual donations large and small in order to continue or work.*

Name: _____

Address: _____

Please make donations payable to the KlaasKids Foundation.

Credit Card Number: _____

Exp. Date _____ Amount: \$ _____


Discover Card

Visa Card

Mastercard

Check

Thank you for your contribution and support!



KLAASKIDS FOUNDATION
P.O. Box 925
SAUSALITO, CA 94966
WWW.KLAASKIDS.ORG

Nonprofit Org.
Bulk Rate
U.S. Postage
PAID
Sausalito, CA
Permit No. 41

*A mile a minute....
that is how fast your child can disappear*